UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.)) No. 3:11-000196) JUDGE HAYNES	Turnstim
ANTWAN MONTREZ PITTS)	The motion so GL HOTED. The money proved 6,
MOTION TO RESC	HEDULE SENTENCING HEARING	monch 6,
Undersigned counsel, Jay St	eed, for the defense, respectfully file	
Reschedule Sentencing Hearing, du	ie to a scheduling conflict. This Cou	urt originally set 4/:30

the sentencing hearing for February 21, 2014 at 2:30 p.m., a date and time at which

counsel was available (Docket No. 43). The Court recently rescheduled the hearing for

the same date, February 21, 2014, but resetting the time for 10:00 a.m. (Docket No. 44).

Unfortunately, undersigned counsel was previously scheduled for two state hearings on the morning of February 21, at 9:00 a.m., including a hearing involving a specially appointed judge travelling from out-of-county. Counsel is not seeking to unnecessarily delay sentencing, and would be available for sentencing on February 21, 2014, in the afternoon. Should it be convenient to the Court, attorneys for both parties remain available on the afternoon of February 21, the currently scheduled date. In the alternative, counsel for the defense and Government are both available the following week, with suggested dates of February 24th, 26th, 27th, or 28th. Both attorneys are also available the following week, on March 3rd, March 5th, or March 6th.

Counsel obviously attempts to prioritize federal hearings, in light of the federal courts' extraordinarily busy calendars. If this Court denies this rescheduling request,